Condensed Tier 1 Review Checklist (c\rbca\t1ckmin2.doc) 12/8/97

Tier 1 Accuracy Review Checklist

The following document is used to review Tier 1 Reports and conversions to Tier 1 Reports. The following questions are to be answered based on the information presented in the Tier 1 Report, SCR, and LUST file. Responses may fall into the following categories:

Y - YES. Information provided meets the qualifications as intended or presents the correct information.

N - NO.

N/A - Not Applicable

Unk - Unknown. Information insufficient to draw conclusion.

- 1- Information is inadequate
- 2- Information is inaccurate
- 3- Information not presented

LUST/Reg. No	Site Name/Loc	eation	
Tier 1 Report Received	Date Tier 1 Re	view Completed	
Reviewer:	Results of Rev	iew:Accepted	Rejected
Comment letter date:	Tier 1 Classific	cation:NFA	ATier 2
Current Site Conditions (check	applicable items, circle contain	minant source)	
Active USTsIn_ GasolineDieselW	active USTsRemo	ved USTs	
Are commingled plumes present? Ent	ter LUST numbers.		
Is free product present? Date Discovered	Date of first FPRR	No Yes Date of last F	- Type: FPRR
Dominant Lithology (circle):	Clay Silt/ClaySilt/Si Gravel Bedro	and Sand Sand ock-granular Bed	d/Gravel rock-nongranula
Minimum depth to groundwater Monitoring well #'s with SWL below	Minimum dept unconsolidated/bedrock interfa	h to bedrock	
Summary File contains sufficient information to Comments/Summary/Action Items Ne		Y	N
Summary of draft letters to be sent:			

Condensed Tier 1 Review Checklist (c\rbca\t1ckmin2.doc) 12/8/97

Maximum Soil and Groundwater Concentrations		☐ Acceptable			☐ Unacceptable (see below)				
If TEH analysis is required for this site, has it been conducted? For SCR conversions, if TEH in soil exceeds the Tier 1 levels,	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3			
has TEH in groundwater been analyzed?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3			
Have the source samples/locations been adequately identified?	Y	N		1	2	3			
If not, identify the correct soil and groundwater maximums below.									

				Soil Maximums			
Chen	nicals	Date of Sample	Well/ Boring #	Concentration (mg/kg)	Default used?	Source of information (closure, site check,etc.)	Date of Report
Group 1	В						
	T						
	Е						
	TPH						
Group 2	TEH						

			G	roundwater Maxin	nums		
Chen	nicals	Date of Sample	Well/ Boring #	Concentration (ug/L)	Default used?	Source of information (closure, site check,etc.)	Date of Report
Group 1	В						
	T						
	Е						
	X						
	TPH						
Group 2	TEH-d						
	TEH-wo						

Hydraulic Conductivity	☐ Acceptable	☐ Unacceptable (see below)					
Have data and calculations used to determine K been provided?	Y	N	1	2	3		
Based on the data and calculations provided and soil types present, is reported K value representative of site conditions?	Y	N	1	2	3		
Explain why K value was recalculated:							

	HYDRA	ULIC CONDUCTIV	/ITY DATA		
	Reported K		Recalculated K	Total Disse	olved Solids
Test Date	MW#	K(m/d)	(m/d)	MW#	TDS (mg/L)

Default Assumptions for K:

- 0.45 m/d at sites where reported K was not representative and cannot be recalculated.
- 5 m/d at exempt granular bedrock where K too high to measure.
- Reported or recalculated K at exempt granular bedrock where K >0.44 m/d.
- 0.44 m/d at exempt granular bedrock where reported or recalculated K was <0.44 m/d.

Default Assumption for TDS when not measured: <2500 mg/L.

Condensed Tier 1 Review Checklist (c\rbca\t1ckmin2.doc) 12/8/97 **Receptor Identification** ☐ Acceptable ☐ Unacceptable (see below) **Well Survey** Was a well survey conducted within 1000' of contaminant sources? Y 3 N Unk 1 2 Was a property owner/ pedestrian survey conducted to locate wells within 300' of contaminant sources? Y N Unk 1 2 3 Are drinking water wells present? 1 2 3 Y N Unk Are non-drinking water wells present? 2 3 Y Ν Unk 1 Explain deficiencies: Vapor to Enclosed Space Receptors Explosive vapors have been reported in the past? Y Ν Spill Information: Date Product Spilled Quantity IDNR Notified (circle) Spill # Y N Was an explosive vapor survey of the nearest subsurface enclosed spaces in all directions and in places with a history of vapor problems conducted? Y 2 Ν Unk 1 3 An explosive vapor survey has been conducted using proper equipment? 2 3 Y N Unk 1 Explosive vapors are present? Y Ν Was a confined space survey conducted to a distance of 200' from the contaminant sources? Y 1 2 3 Ν Unk Basements are present within 200' of the sources? Y Ν Unk 1 2 3 Sanitary sewers are present within 200' of the sources? Y N Unk 1 2 3 Explain deficiencies: Y Was soil gas sampling conducted to clear soil vapor pathway? Ν N/A 1 2 3 If SCR conversion, was soil gas sampling conducted to - clear the groundwater vapor pathway? Y Ν N/A 2 3 1 2 - clear the soil leaching groundwater vapor pathway? Y 3 N N/A 1 Explain deficiencies: **Plastic Water Line Receptors** Is groundwater less than 20' beneath the surface? Y N 1 2 3 If yes, was a plastic water line receptor survey 2 3 conducted to a distance of 200' from the sources? Y N Unk 1 Are there any plastic water line mains within 200'? 2 3 Y Ν Unk 1 2 3 Are there any plastic water service lines within 200'? Y N Unk 1 Explain deficiencies: **Surface Water Body Receptors** Was a surface water body receptor survey conducted to a distance of 200' from the contaminant sources? 1 3 Y N Unk 2 Is there a surface water body within 200 feet of the source? Y Ν N/A 1 3 2 Was a petroleum sheen observed on the surface water body? Y N N/A 1 3 Has the designation of surface water body been provided? 2 3 Y Ν N/A 1 Explain deficiencies:

Condensed Tier 1 Review Checklist (c\rbca\t1ckmin2.doc) 12/8/97

I. TIER 1 REPORT BODY

Determine whether the following sections of the report have been adequately completed.

A. Title Page: Deficiencies:	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
B. Site Data Summary (Page 2): Deficiencies:	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
C. Pathway Evaluation Summary (page 3) Deficiencies:	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
D. Tier 1 Report Checklist (page 4) Deficiencies:	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
E. Site History (page 5) Deficiencies:	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
E. Current Site Conditions (page 6) Deficiencies:	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
F. Sampling Results (pages 7-10) 1. Field Screening: 2. Soil Analytical Data 3. Groundwater Analytical Data 4. Soil Gas Analytical Data 5. Groundwater Elevations 6. Hydraulic Conductivity Deficiencies:	Y Y Y Y Y	N N N N N	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3
G. Receptor Survey (pages 11-12) 1. Groundwater Well Survey 2. Enclosed Space / Conduit Survey 3. Surface Water Survey Deficiencies:	Y Y Y	N N N	N/A N/A N/A	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3
II. APPENDICES						
1. Topographic Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
2. Site Plan Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
3. Site Vicinity Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
4. Field Screening Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
5. Soil Contamination Maps	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
6. Groundwater Contamination Plume Maps	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
7. Groundwater Flow Direction Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
8. Well Survey Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
9. Enclosed Space and Conduit Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3

Condensed Tier 1 Review Checklist (c\rbca\t1ckmin2.doc) 12/8/97						
10. Surface Water Map	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
11. Tank and Line Tightness Test Results	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
12. Laboratory Data Sheets	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
13. Soil Boring Logs	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
14. Hydraulic Conductivity Measurements	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
15. Well Logs	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
16. Pathway Evaluation Worksheet (required if software not used)	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Appendix Deficiencies:						

III. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

1. Declaration of Restrictive Covenants / Institutional Controls:						
Form is dated?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Description of restrictions is provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3 3 3 3
Name of declarant is entered?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Authorizing agent affixed signature?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Form is notarized?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Legal description of property provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Does institutional control prohibit/restrict						
use to appropriate distance from source?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Ordinance accepted?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
2. Abandoned Water Well Plugging Records						
IDNR FORM 542-1226 complete?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
3. Water Supply (IDNR) / Designated County Agent Notification:						
IDNR form 542-1530 complete?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Have site maps showing contamination been provided?	Ŷ	N	N/A	1	2	3
That's site maps showing contamination occur provided.	•	11	14/11	•	_	5
4. Utility Company Notification						
IDNR form 542-1531 complete?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Have site maps showing contamination been provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
5. Report of Plastic Water Line Removal and/or Relocation						
Report of the replacement/relocation provided as an						
Appendix to the Tier 1 Report?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Documentation of Utility Company approval for replacement						
/relocation provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
If plastic water lines were replaced, are construction details						
(new pipe material, backfill material, burial depth) provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Have relocated plastic water lines been moved at least						
200 feet from contaminant sources?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Documentation that relocation area is free of						
contamination has been provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Construction details (backfill material, burial depth)						
of relocated plastic water lines are provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	3
Scaled site diagram which shows pertinent site features,						

ensed Tier 1 Review Checklist (c\rbca\t1ckmin2.doc) 12/8/97 soil and groundwater contamination, former and current location of plastic water lines, location of new non-plastic						
water lines provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
6. Report of Overexcavation Activities						
Report of the excavation provided as an Appendix to the Tier 1 report?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
-	-	-,	11/11	•	_	
Field screening conducted prior to over excavation to estimate extent of soil contamination?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Was adequate field screening performed during the OE activities to identify maximum concentrations? (One soil sample for field screening every 100 square feet of the base and the each sidewall).	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Was adequate confirmation soil sampling performed during the OE activities? (One soil sample for laboratory analysis every 400 square feet of the base and 400 square feet of						
each sidewall).	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Is excavation less than 400 square feet of exposed surface? If the excavation is less than 400 square feet of exposed surface, was a minimum of one soil sample from each sidewall and one soil sample from the base collected and	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
sidewall and one soil sample from the base collected and analyzed by a laboratory?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Results of visual observations and field screening presented?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Copies of the analytical data for the soil samples provided?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
A scaled site diagram with the following illustrated Area of the original contamination	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Dimensions and limits of the overexcavation	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Field screening sample locations	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Location of soil samples submitted for laboratory analysis	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Groundwater sampling borehole and well locations	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Pertinent site features (buildings, roads, utilities etc.)	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Groundwater flow direction	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Soil samples shipped to the lab within 72 hours of collection?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Iowa certified laboratory used?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Was the appropriate OA-1 and or OA-2 analysis conducted?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	
Documentation provided that soil was properly disposed in accordance with 567-chapters 100, 101, 102, 120, and 121?	Y	N	N/A	1	2	